

E

**EXHIBIT A-5**  
**“EMERALD – LIVE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS”**

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald - Live Traffic Analysis"**

**Emerald - Live Traffic Analysis invalidates the indicated claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)**

All text citations are taken from: P. Porras and A. Valdes, "Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways," <http://www.sdl.sri.com/projects/emerald/live-traffic.html>, Internet Society's Networks and Distributed Systems Security Symposium, Nov. 10, 1997 [SYM\_P\_0068844- SYM\_P\_0068865].

The text included herein are merely representative samples of the disclosure in the asserted reference. Symantec reserves the right to supplement these disclosures.

Similar disclosures and additional related information are contained in the following additional references:

- P. Porras and A. Valdes, "Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways," Networks and Distributed Systems Security Symposium, March 1998 [SYM\_P\_003946- SYM\_P\_00503965].
- P. Porras and P. Neumann, "EMERALD: Event Monitoring Enabling Responses to Anomalous Live Disturbances," 20<sup>th</sup> NISSC October 9, 1997 [SYM\_P\_0068831- SYM\_P\_0068843].
- P. Neumann, P. Porras and A. Valdes, "Analysis and Response for Intrusion Detection in Large Networks," Summary for CMAD Workshop, Monterey, 12-14 November 1996 [SYM\_P\_00499439- SYM\_P\_00499440].
- "Analysis and Response for Intrusion Detection in Large Networks," Summary for CMAD Workshop, Monterey, 12-14 November 1996 [SRI011022 - SRI011026].
- "Analysis and Response for Intrusion Detection in Large Networks," Summary for Intrusion Detection Workshop, Santa Cruz, 26-28 August 1996 [SRI011045-SRI011048].
- P. Porras and P. Neumann, "EMERALD: Event Monitoring Enabling Responses to Anomalous Live Disturbances Conceptual Overview," December 18, 1996 [SYM\_P\_00503335- SYM\_P\_00503345].
- P. Porras and P. Neumann, "CONCEPTUAL-DESIGN AND PLANNING for EMERALD: Event Monitoring Enabling Responses to Anomalous Live Disturbances," Version 1.2 May 20, 1997, <http://www.csl.sri.com/intrusion.html> [SRI012308 - 012404].

244851

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
1	A method of network surveillance, comprising:	<p><i>"Specifically, we present techniques to analyze TCP/IP packet streams that flow through network gateways for signs of malicious activity, nonmalicious failures, and other exceptional events. The intent is to demonstrate, by example, the utility of introducing gateway surveillance mechanisms to monitor network traffic. We present this discussion of gateway surveillance mechanisms as complementary to the filtering mechanisms of a large enterprise network, and illustrate the usefulness of surveillance in directly enhancing the security and stability of network operations."</i> (Abstract) [SYM_P_0068844-SYM_P_0068845]</p> <p><i>"Mechanisms for parsing and filtering hostile external network traffic [2], [4] that could reach internal network services have become widely accepted as prerequisites for limiting the exposure of internal network assets while maintaining interconnectivity with external networks. The encoding of filtering rules for packet- or transport-layer communication should be enforced at entry points between internal networks and external traffic. Developing filtering rules that strike an optimal balance between the restrictiveness necessary to suppress the entry of unwanted traffic, while allowing the necessary flows demanded for user functionality, can be a nontrivial exercise [3]"</i> (p. 2) [SYM_P_0068845]</p> <p><i>"Network monitoring, in the context of fault detection and diagnosis for computer network and telecommunication environments, has been studied extensively by the network management and alarm correlation community [8], [11], [15], [16]. The high-volume distributed event correlation technology promoted in some projects provides an excellent foundation for building truly scalable network-aware surveillance technology for misuse. ...</i></p> <p><i>Earlier work in the intrusion-detection community attempting to address the issue of network surveillance includes the Network Security Monitor (NSM), developed at UC Davis [6], and the Network Anomaly Detection and Intrusion Reporter (NADIR) [7], developed at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). Both performed broadcast LAN packet monitoring to analyze traffic patterns for known hostile or anomalous activity. [1] Further research by UC Davis in the Distributed Intrusion Detection System (DIDS) [23] and later Graph-based Intrusion Detection System (GRIDS) [25] projects has attempted to extend intrusion monitoring capabilities beyond LAN analysis, to provide multi-LAN and very large-scale network coverage."</i> (p. 3) [SYM_P_0068846]</p>

# Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Case Number	Classification	Title	Abstract
244851	Classified	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis	<p>receiving network packets handled by a network entity; building at least one long-term and at least one short-term statistical profile from at least one measure of the network packets</p> <p>Specializing the present techniques to analyze TCP/IP packets streams that flow through network gateways for signs of malicious activity. The techniques are applicable to the Internet and other computer networks.</p> <p>"4. Traffic Analysis with Statistical Anomaly Detection SRI has been involved in statistical anomaly-detection research for over a decade [1], [5], [10]. Our previous work focused on the profiling of user activity through and end-to-end analysis. Within the EMERALD project, we are extending the underlying statistical algorithms to profile various aspects of network traffic in search of response- or alert-worthy anomalies.</p> <p>The statistical subsystem tracks subject activity via one or more variables called <i>measures</i>. The statistical algorithms employ four classes of measures: categorical, continuous, intensity, and event distribution. <i>Categorical</i> measures are those that assume values from a categorical set, such as originating host identity, destination host, and port number. <i>Continuous</i> measures are those for which observed values are numeric or ordinal, such as number of bytes transferred. Derived measures also track the intensity of activity (that is, the rate of events per unit time) and the "meta-distribution" of the measures affected by recent events. These derived measure types are referred to as <i>intensity</i> and <i>event distribution</i>.</p> <p>The system we have developed maintains and updates a description of a subject's behavior with respect to these measure types in a compact, efficiently updated <i>profile</i>. The profile is subdivided into short- and long-term elements. The short-term profile articulates values between updates, and exponentially ages values for comparison to the long-term profile. As a consequence of the aging mechanism, the short-term profile characterizes the recent activity of the subject, where "recent" is determined by the dynamically-configurable aging parameters used. At update time (typically, a time of low system activity), the update function folds the short-term values observed since the last update into the long-term profile, and the short-term profile is cleared. The long-term profile is then slowly aged to adapt to changes in subject activity. Anomaly scoring compares related attributes in the short-term profile against the long-term profile. As all evaluations are done against empirical distributions, no assumptions of parametric distributions are made, and multi-modal and categorical distributions are accommodated. Furthermore, the algorithms we have developed require no <i>a priori</i> knowledge of intrusive or exceptional activity. A more detailed mathematical description of these algorithms is given in [9], [26]." (p. 6) [SYM_P_0068849]</p> <p>"Statistical anomaly detection via the methods described above enables EMERALD to answer questions such as how the current</p>

244851

3

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

318 Claim Number	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (Patent Publication)
	<p>anonymous FTP session compares to the historical profile of all previous anonymous FTP sessions. Mail exchange could be similarly monitored for atypical exchanges (e.g., excessive mail relays).</p> <p>Continuing with the example of FTP, we assign FTP-related events to a subject (the login user or "anonymous"). As several sessions may be interleaved, we maintain separate short-term profiles for each, but may score against a common long-term profile (for example, short-term profiles are maintained for each "anonymous" FTP session, but each is scored against the historical profile of "anonymous" FTP sessions). The aging mechanism in the statistics module allows it to monitor events either as the events occur or at the end of the session. We have chosen the former approach (analyze events as they happen), as it potentially detects anomalous activity in a session before that session is concluded." (p. 11) [SYM_P_0068854]</p>
<p>the at least one measure monitoring data transfers, errors, or network connections;</p>	<p>"IP traffic represents an interesting candidate event stream for analysis. Individually, packets represent parsable activity records, where key data within the header and data segment can be statistically analyzed and/or heuristically parsed for response-worthy activity. However, the sheer volume of potential packets dictates careful assessment of ways to optimally organize packets into streams for efficient parsing. Thorough filtering of events and event fields such that the target activity is concisely isolated, should be applied early in the processing stage to reduce resource utilization.</p> <p>With respect to TCP/IP gateway traffic monitoring, we have investigated a variety of ways to categorize and isolate groups of packets from an arbitrary packet stream. Individual packet streams can be filtered based on different isolation criteria, such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Discarded traffic</i>: packets not allowed through the gateway because they violate filtering rules.[iii]</li> <li>• <i>Pass-through traffic</i>: packets allowed into the internal network from external sources.</li> <li>• <i>Protocol-specific traffic</i>: packets pertaining to a common protocol as designated in the packet header. One example is the stream of all ICMP packets that reach the gateway.</li> <li>• <i>Unassigned port traffic</i>: packets targeting ports to which the administrator has not assigned any network service and that also</li> </ul>

244851

4



## Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Case Number	Case Name	Case Description
1337	Emerald - Live Traffic Analysis	<p>remain unblocked by the firewall.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Transport management messages:</i> packets involving transport-layer connection establishment, control, and termination (e.g., TCP SYN, RESET, ACK, [window resize]).</li> <li>• <i>Source-address monitoring:</i> packets whose source addresses match well-known external-sites (e.g., connections from satellite offices) or have raised suspicion from other monitoring efforts.</li> <li>• <i>Destination-address monitoring:</i> all packets whose destination addresses match a given internal host or workstation.</li> <li>• <i>Application-layer monitoring:</i> packets targeting a particular network service or application. This stream isolation may translate to parsing packet headers for IP/port matches (assuming an established binding between port and service) and rebuilding datagrams.</li> </ul> <p>In the following sections we discuss how such traffic streams can be statistically and heuristically analyzed to provide insight into malicious and erroneous external traffic. Alternative sources of event data are also available from the report logs produced by the various gateways, firewalls, routers, and proxy servers (e.g., router syslogs can in fact be used to collect packet information from several products)." (p. 5) [SYM_P_0068848]</p> <p>"Within the EMERALD project, we generalize these concepts so that components and software such as network gateways, proxies, and network services can themselves be made subject classes. The generated event streams are obtained from log files, packet analysis, and—where required—special-purpose instrumentation made for services of interest (e.g., FTP, HTTP, or SMTP). As appropriate, an event stream may be analyzed as a single subject, or as multiple subjects, and the same network activity can be analyzed in several ways. For example, an event stream of dropped packets permits analyses that track the reason each packet was rejected. Under such a scenario, the firewall rejecting the packet is the subject, and the measures of interest are the reason the packet was dropped (e.g., source address, destination address, and the time of dropped packets in the recent past (one or more intensity measures tuned to time intervals of seconds to minutes). Alternatively, these dropped packets may be parsed in finer detail, supporting other analyses where the subjects are, for example, the identity of the originating host." (p. 6-7) [SYM_P_0068849- SYM_P_0068850]</p>

244831

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (patented publication)
		<p>"Through satellite session profiling, EMERALD can monitor traffic for signs of unusual activity. In the case of the FTP service, for example, each user who gives a login name is a subject, and "anonymous" is a subject as well. Another example of a subject is the network gateway itself, in which case there is only one subject. All subjects for the same event stream (that is, all subjects within a subject class) have the same measures defined in their profiles, but the internal profile values are different.</p> <p>As we migrate our statistical algorithms that had previously focused on user audit trails with users as subjects, we generalize our ability to build more abstract profiles for varied types of activity captured within our generalized notion of an event stream. In the context of statistically analyzing TCP/IP traffic streams, profiling can be derived from a variety of traffic perspectives, including profiles of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocol-specific transactions (e.g., all ICMP exchanges)</li> <li>• Sessions between specific internal hosts and/or specific external sites</li> <li>• Application-layer-specific sessions (e.g., anonymous FTP sessions profiled individually and/or collectively)</li> <li>• Discarded traffic, measuring attributes such as volume and disposition of rejections</li> <li>• Connection requests, errors, and unfiltered transmission rates and disposition</li> </ul> <p>Event records are generated either as a result of activity or at periodic intervals. In our case, activity records are based on the content of IP packets or transport-layer datagrams. Our event filters also construct interval summary records, which contain accumulated network traffic statistics (at a minimum, number of packets and number of kilobytes transferred). These records are constructed at the end of each interval (e.g., once per N seconds)." (p. 7) [SYM_P_0068850]</p> <p>See Section 4.1 "Categorical Measures in Network Traffic" (p. 8) [SYM_P_0068851]</p>

244851

6



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Exhibit Claim Number	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (unintentionally redacted)
	<p>See Section 4.2 "Continuous Measures in Network Traffic" (p. 9) [SYM_P_0068852]</p> <p>See Section 4.3 "Measuring Network Traffic Intensity" (pp. 9-10) [SYM_P_0068852-SYM_P_0068853]</p> <p>See Section 4.4 "Event Distribution Measures" (pp. 10-11) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>See Section 4.5 "Statistical Session Analysis" (p. 11) [SYM_P_0068854]</p>
comparing at least one long-term and at least one short-term statistical profile; and	<p>"EMERALD's statistical algorithm adjusts its short-term profile for the measure values observed on the event record. The distribution of recently observed values is evaluated against the long-term profile, and a distance between the two is obtained. The difference is compared to a historically adaptive, subject-specific deviation. The empirical distribution of this deviation is transformed to obtain a score for the event. Anomalous events are those whose scores exceed a historically adaptive, subject-specific score threshold based on the empirical score distribution. This nonparametric approach handles all measure types and makes no assumptions on the modality of the distribution for continuous measures." (p. 7) [SYM_P_0068850]</p>
determining whether the difference between the short-term statistical profile and the long-term statistical profile indicates suspicious network activity.	<p>"EMERALD's statistical algorithm adjusts its short-term profile for the measure values observed on the event record. The distribution of recently observed values is evaluated against the long-term profile, and a distance between the two is obtained. The difference is compared to a historically adaptive, subject-specific deviation. The empirical distribution of this deviation is transformed to obtain a score for the event. Anomalous events are those whose scores exceed a historically adaptive, subject-specific score threshold based on the empirical score distribution. This nonparametric approach handles all measure types and makes no assumptions on the modality of the distribution for continuous measures." (p. 7) [SYM_P_0068850]</p>
4	<p>"In the following sections we discuss how such traffic streams can be statistically and heuristically analyzed to provide insight into malicious and anomalous external traffic. Alternative sources of event data are also available from the report logs produced by the various gateways, firewalls, routers, and proxy servers that router systems can in fact be used to collect packet information from</p>

244851

# Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (patented publication)
	packet data transfer volume.	<p>several products)." (p. 5) [SYM_P_0068848]</p> <p>"Within the EMERALD project, we generalize these concepts so that components and software such as network gateways, proxies, and network services can themselves be made subject classes. The generated event streams are obtained from log files, packet analysis, and--where required--special-purpose instrumentation made for services of interest (e.g., FTP, HTTP, or SMTP). As appropriate, an event stream may be analyzed as a single subject, or as multiple subjects, and the same network activity can be analyzed in several ways. For example, an event stream of dropped packets permits analyses that track the reason each packet was rejected. Under such a scenario, the firewall rejecting the packet is the subject, and the measures of interest are the reason the packet was dropped (a categorical measure), and the rate of dropped packets in the recent past (one or more intensity measures tuned to time intervals of seconds to minutes). Alternatively, these dropped packets may be parsed in finer detail, supporting other analyses where the subject is, for example, the identity of the originating host." (p. 6) [SYM_P_0068849]</p> <p>"Through satellite session profiling, EMERALD can monitor traffic for signs of unusual activity. In the case of the FTP service, for example, each user who gives a login name is a subject, and "anonymous" is a subject as well. Another example of a subject is the network gateway itself, in which case there is only one subject. All subjects for the same event stream (that is, all subjects within a subject class) have the same measures defined in their profiles, but the internal profile values are different.</p> <p>As we migrate our statistical algorithms that had previously focused on user audit trails with users as subjects, we generalize our ability to build more abstract profiles for varied types of activity captured within our generalized notion of an event stream. In the context of statistically analyzing TCP/IP traffic streams, profiling can be derived from a variety of traffic perspectives, including profiles of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocol-specific transactions (e.g., all ICMP exchanges)</li> <li>• Sessions between specific internal hosts and/or specific external sites</li> </ul>

244851

8

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald - Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Description
	<p>Emerald - Live Traffic Analysis (p. 9-10) [SYM P_0068853]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Application-layer-specific sessions (e.g., anonymous FTP sessions profiled individually and/or collectively)</li> <li>• Discarded traffic, measuring attributes such as volume and disposition of rejections</li> <li>• Connection requests, errors, and unfiltered transmission rates and disposition</li> </ul> <p>Event records are generated either as a result of activity or at periodic intervals. In our case, activity records are based on the content of IP packets or transport-layer datagrams. Our event filters also construct interval summary records, which contain accumulated network traffic statistics (at a minimum, number of packets and number of kilobytes transferred). These records are constructed at the end of each interval (e.g., once per N seconds)." (p. 7) [SYM P_0068850]</p> <p>"EMERALD uses volume analyses to help detect the introduction of malicious traffic, such as traffic intended to cause service denials or perform intelligence gathering, where such traffic may not necessarily be violating filtering policies. A sharp increase in the overall volume of discarded packets, as well as analysis of the disposition of the discarded packets (as discussed in Section 4.1, can provide useful information. Maliciously malformed packets resulting from poor line quality or internal errors in neighboring hosts. High volumes of discarded packets can also indicate more maliciously intended transmissions such as scanning of UDP ports or IP address scanning via ICMP echoes. Excessive numbers of mail expansion requests (xrn) may indicate intelligence gathering, perhaps by spammers. These and other application-layer forms of doorknob rattling can be detected by an EMERALD statistical engine when filtering is not desired." (p. 10) [SYM P_0068853]</p>
11	<p>The method of claim 1, further comprising responding based on the determining whether the difference between the short-term statistical profile and the</p> <p>See Section 4.3 "Measuring Network Traffic Intensity" (pp. 9-10) [SYM P - SYM P_0068853]</p> <p>"In addition, we can add a layer of traffic-rate monitoring by profiling the overall volume of enterprise traffic expected throughout various slices of the day and week. Local monitors may use continuous measures to detect drastic declines in packet volumes that could indicate transmission loss or serious degradation. However, it is conceivable that the degradation from the local domain perspective, while significant, is not drastic enough to warrant active response. At the same time, we may find through results correlation that the aggregate of all domains producing reports of transmission rate degradation during the same time period could</p>



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Exhibit Number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (Printed Publication)
	long-term statistical profile indicates suspicious network activity.	<p>warrant attention at the enterprise layer. Thus, local domain activity below the severity of warranting a response could in aggregation with other activity be found to warrant a response." (pp. 15-16) [SYM_P_0068858-SYM_P_0068859]</p> <p>"Within EMERALD, our response capabilities will employ the following general forms of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Passive results dissemination:</b> EMERALD monitors can make their analysis results available for administrative review. We are currently exploring techniques to facilitate passive dissemination of analysis results by using already-existing network protocols such as SNMP, including the translation of analysis results into an intrusion-detection management information base (MIB) structure. However, whereas it is extremely useful to integrate results dissemination into an already-existing infrastructure, we must balance this utility with the need to preserve the security and integrity of analysis results.</li> <li>• <b>Assertive results dissemination:</b> Analysis results can be actively disseminated as administrative alerts. While the automatic dissemination of alerts may help to provide timely review of problems by administrators, this approach may be the most expensive form of response, in that it requires human oversight.[vi]</li> <li>• <b>Dynamic controls over logging configuration:</b> EMERALD monitors can perform limited control over the (re)configuration of logging facilities within network components (e.g., routers, firewalls, network services, audit daemons).</li> <li>• <b>Integrity checking probes:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke handlers that validate the integrity of network services or other assets. Integrity probes may be particularly useful for ensuring that privileged network services have not been subverted.[vii]</li> <li>• <b>Reverse probing:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke probes in an attempt to gather as much counterintelligence about the source of suspicious traffic by using features such as <i>traceroute</i> or <i>finger</i>. However, care is required in performing such actions, as discussed in [4].</li> <li>• <b>Active channel termination:</b> An EMERALD monitor can actively terminate a channel session if it detects specific known</li> </ul>

244851

10

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim text	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (p. 17) [SYM_P_0068860]
12	The method of claim 11, wherein responding comprises transmitting an event record to a network monitor.	<p>hostile activity. This is perhaps the most severe response, and care must be taken to ensure that attackers do not manipulate the surveillance monitor to deny legitimate access." (p. 17) [SYM_P_0068860]</p> <p>"Another issue is how to tailor a response that is appropriate given the severity of the problem, and that provides a singular effect to address the problem without harming the flow of legitimate network traffic. Countermeasures range from very passive responses, such as passive results dissemination, to highly aggressive actions, such as severing a communication channel. Within EMERALD, our response capabilities will employ the following general forms of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Passive results dissemination:</b> EMERALD monitors can make their analysis results available for administrative review. We are currently exploring techniques to facilitate passive dissemination of analysis results by using already-existing network protocols such as SNMP, including the translation of analysis results into an intrusion-detection management information base (MIB) structure. However, whereas it is extremely useful to integrate results dissemination into an already-existing infrastructure, we must balance this utility with the need to preserve the security and integrity of analysis results.</li> <li>• <b>Assertive results dissemination:</b> Analysis results can be actively disseminated as administrative alerts. While the automatic dissemination of alerts may help to provide timely review of problems by administrators, this approach may be the most expensive form of response, in that it requires human oversight." (p. 17) [SYM_P_0068860]</li> </ul>
13	The method of claim 12, wherein transmitting the event record to a network monitor comprises transmitting the event record to a hierarchically higher network monitor.	<p>"The focus of surveillance need not be limited to the analysis of traffic streams through a single gateway. An extremely useful extension of anomaly detection and signature analyses is to support the hierarchical correlation of analysis results produced by multiple distributed gateway surveillance modules. Within the EMERALD framework, we are developing meta-surveillance modules that analyze the anomaly and signature reports produced by individual traffic monitors dispersed to the various entry points of external traffic into local network domains.</p> <p>This concept is illustrated in Figure 1, which depicts an example enterprise network consisting of interconnected local network domains. These local domains are independently administered, and could perhaps correspond to the division of computing assets among departments within commercial organizations or independent laboratories within research organizations. In this figure, connectivity with the external world is provided through one or more service providers (SP1 and SP2), which may provide a limited</p>

244851

11



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
		<p>degree of filtering based on source address (to avoid address spoofing), as well as other primitive checks such as monitoring checksum." (p. 13) [SYM_P_0068856]</p> <p>See Figure: "Example Network Deployment of Surveillance Monitors" (pp. 12-13) [SYM_P_0068856-SYM_P_0068857]</p> <p>"EMERALD surveillance monitors are represented by the S-circles, and are deployed to the various entry points of the enterprise and domains.</p> <p>EMERALD surveillance modules develop analysis results that are then directed up to an enterprise-layer monitor, which correlates the distributed results into a meta-event stream. The enterprise monitor is identical to the individual gateway monitors (i.e., they use the same code base), except that it is configured to correlate activity reports produced by the gateway monitors. The enterprise monitor employs both statistical anomaly detection and signature analyses to further analyze the results produced by the distributed gateway surveillance modules, searching for commonalities or trends in the distributed analysis results.</p> <p>The following sections focus on aggregate analyses that may induce both local response and/or enterprise-wide response. We enumerate some of the possible ways that analysis results from the various surveillance modules can be correlated to provide insight into more global problems not visible from the narrow perspective of local entry-point monitoring." (pp. 14-15) [SYM_P_0068857-SYM_P_0068858]</p>
14	The method of claim 13, wherein transmitting the event record to a network monitor comprises transmitting the event record to a network monitor that receives event records from multiple network monitors.	See '338 claim 13
15	The method of claim 14,	"More broadly, in Section 6 we discuss the correlation of analysis results produced by surveillance components deployed

244851

12

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Case Claim number	Claim 10 (b)(1)	Emerald Live Traffic Analysis (continued)
	wherein the monitor that receives event records from multiple network monitors comprises a network monitor that correlates activity in the multiple network monitors based on the received event records.	<p>independently throughout the entry points of our protected intranet. We discuss how events of limited significance to a local surveillance monitor may be aggregated with results from other strategically deployed monitors to provide insight into more wide-scale problems or threats against the intranet." (p. 4) [SYM_P_0068847]</p> <p>"On the other hand, event-distribution measures are useful in correlative analysis achieved via the "Monitor of Monitors" approach. Here, each monitor contributes to an aggregate event stream for the domain of the correlation monitor. These events are generated only when the individual monitor decides that the recent behavior is anomalous (though perhaps not sufficiently anomalous by itself to trigger a declaration). Measures recorded include time stamp, monitor identifier, subject identifier, and measure identities of the most outlying measures. Overall intensity of this event stream may be indicative of a correlated attack. The distribution of which monitors and which measures are anomalous is likely to be different with an intrusion or malfunction than with the normal "innocent exception." (See Section 6 for a further discussion on result correlation.)" (pp. 10-11) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>"Within EMERALD, our response capabilities will employ the following general forms of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Dynamic controls over logging configuration:</b> EMERALD monitors can perform limited control over the (re)configuration of logging facilities within network components (e.g., routers, firewalls, network services, audit daemons).</li> <li>• <b>Integrity checking probes:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke handlers that validate the integrity of network services or other assets. Integrity probes may be particularly useful for ensuring that privileged network services have not been subverted. [viii]</li> <li>• <b>Reverse probing:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke probes in an attempt to gather as much counterintelligence about the behavior of suspicious traffic by using features such as <i>traceroute</i> or <i>finger</i>. However, care is required in performing such actions, as discussed in [4]." (p. 19) [SYM_P_0068860]</li> </ul>
16	The method of claim 11, wherein responding comprises altering analysis of the network packets.	
17	The method of claim 11, wherein responding comprises	<p>"Active channel termination: An EMERALD monitor can actively terminate a channel session if it detects specific known hostile activity. This is perhaps the most severe response, and care must be taken to ensure that attackers do not manipulate the surveillance</p>

244851

13

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

338 Claim number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (Printed publication)
18	severing a communication channel. The method of claim 1, wherein the network packets comprise TCP/IP packets.	monitor to deny legitimate access." (p. 17) [SYM_P_0068860]  "Specifically, we present techniques to analyze TCP/IP packet streams that flow through network gateways for signs of malicious activity, nonmalicious failures, and other exceptional events." (Abstract) [SYM_P_0068844]
21	A method of network surveillance, comprising: monitoring network packets handled by a network entity; building a long-term and multiple short-term statistical profiles of the network packets; comparing one of the multiple short-term statistical profiles with the long-term statistical profile; and determining whether the difference between the one of the multiple short-term statistical profiles and the long-term statistical profile indicates suspicious network activity.	See '338 claim 1  See '338 claim 1  See '338 claim 1  See '338 claim 1  See '338 claim 1
24	A computer program product, disposed on a computer readable medium, the product including instructions for causing a	See '338 claim 1

244851

14

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	Prior Art Reference
	processor to: receive network packets handled by a network entity;	See '338 claim 1
	build at least one long-term and at least one short-term statistical profile from at least one measure of the network packets, the measure monitoring data transfers, errors, or network connections;	See '338 claim 1
	compare at least one short-term and at least one long-term statistical profile; and	See '338 claim 1
	determine whether the difference between the short-term statistical profile and the long-term statistical profile indicates suspicious network activity.	See '338 claim 1

244851

15



# Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Claim Number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (Sym_P_0068844-4)
1	A computer-automated method of hierarchical event monitoring and analysis within an enterprise network comprising:	<p><i>"Specifically, we present techniques to analyze TCP/IP packet streams that flow through network gateways for signs of malicious activity, nonmalicious failures, and other exceptional events. The intent is to demonstrate, by example, the utility of introducing gateway surveillance mechanisms to monitor network traffic. We present this discussion of gateway surveillance mechanisms as complementary to the filtering mechanisms of a large enterprise network, and illustrate the usefulness of surveillance in directly enhancing the security and stability of network operations."</i> (Abstract) [SYM_P_0068844- SYM_P_0068845]</p> <p>"Mechanisms for parsing and filtering hostile external network traffic [2], [4] that could reach internal network services have become widely accepted as prerequisites for limiting the exposure of internal network assets while maintaining interconnectivity with external networks. The encoding of filtering rules for packet- or transport-layer communication should be enforced at entry points between internal networks and external traffic. Developing filtering rules that strike an optimal balance between the restrictiveness necessary to suppress the entry of unwanted traffic, while allowing the necessary flows demanded for user functionality, can be a nontrivial exercise. [3]." (p. 2) [SYM_P_0068845]</p> <p>"Network monitoring, in the context of fault detection and diagnosis for computer network and telecommunication environments, has been studied extensively by the network management and alarm correlation community [8], [11], [15], [16]. The high-volume distributed event correlation technology promoted in some projects provides an excellent foundation for building truly scalable network-aware surveillance technology for misuse. ...</p> <p>Earlier work in the intrusion-detection community attempting to address the issue of network surveillance includes the Network Security Monitor (NSM), developed at UC Davis [6], and the Network Anomaly Detection and Intrusion Reporter (NADIR) [7], developed at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). Both performed broadcast LAN packet monitoring to analyze traffic patterns for known hostile or anomalous activity. [7] Further research by UC Davis in the Distributed Intrusion Detection System (DIDS) [23] and later Graph-based Intrusion Detection System (GRIDS) [25] projects has attempted to extend intrusion monitoring capabilities beyond LAN analysis, to provide multi-LAN and very large-scale network coverage." (p. 3) [SYM_P_0068846]</p>

244851

16



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	Exemplar
		<p>"We use the terms <i>enterprise</i> and <i>intra-enterprise</i> interchangeably; both exist ultimately as cooperative communities of independently administered domains communicating together with supportive network infrastructure such as firewalls, routers, and bridges." (p. 19) [SYM_P_0068862]</p> <p>"The focus of surveillance need not be limited to the analysis of traffic streams through a single gateway. An extremely useful extension of anomaly detection and signature analyses is to support the hierarchical correlation of analysis results produced by multiple distributed gateway surveillance modules. Within the EMERALD framework, we are developing meta-surveillance modules that analyze the anomaly and signature reports produced by individual traffic monitors dispersed to the various entry points of external traffic into local network domains." (p. 13) [SYM_P_0068856]</p> <p>"EMERALD introduces a building-block approach to network surveillance, attack isolation, and automated response. The approach employs highly distributed, independently tunable, surveillance and response monitors that are deployable polymorphically at various abstract layers in a large network. These monitors demonstrate a streamlined intrusion-detection design that combines signature analysis with statistical profiling to provide localized real-time protection for the most widely used network services and components on the Internet." (p. 4) [SYM_P_0068847]</p> <p>"Specifically, we present techniques to analyze TCP/IP packet streams that flow through network gateways for signs of malicious activity, nonmalicious failures, and other exceptional events." (Abstract) [SYM_P_0068844- SYM_P_0068845]</p> <p>"IP traffic represents an interesting candidate event stream for analysis. Individually, packets represent parsable activity records, where key data within the header and data segment can be statistically analyzed and/or heuristically parsed for response-worthy activity. However, the sheer volume of potential packets distorts careful assessment of ways to optimally organize packets into streams for efficient parsing. Through filtering of events and event fields such that the target activity is concisely isolated, should be applied early in the processing stage to reduce resource utilization.</p> <p>With respect to TCP/IP gateway traffic monitoring, we have investigated a variety of ways to categorize and isolate groups of packets</p>
	<p>deploying a plurality of network monitors in the enterprise network;</p>	
	<p>detecting, by the network monitors, suspicious network activity</p>	
	<p>based on analysis of network traffic data selected from the following categories: network packet data transfer commands, network packet data transfer errors, network packet data volume, network connection</p>	

### Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald's Live Traffic Analysis (printed publicly in 1999)
	requests, network connection denials, error codes included in a network packet);	<p>from an arbitrary packet stream. Individual packet streams can be filtered based on different isolation criteria, such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Discarded traffic</i>: packets not allowed through the gateway because they violate filtering rules. [iii]</li> <li>• <i>Pass-through traffic</i>: packets allowed into the internal network from external sources.</li> <li>• <i>Protocol-specific traffic</i>: packets pertaining to a common protocol as designated in the packet header. One example is the stream of all ICMP packets that reach the gateway.</li> <li>• <i>Unassigned port traffic</i>: packets targeting ports to which the administrator has not assigned any network service and that also remain unblocked by the firewall.</li> <li>• <i>Transport management messages</i>: packets involving transport-layer connection establishment, control, and termination (e.g., TCP SYN, RESET, ACK, [window resize]).</li> <li>• <i>Source-address monitoring</i>: packets whose source addresses match well-known external sites (e.g., connections from satellite offices) or have raised suspicion from other monitoring efforts.</li> <li>• <i>Destination-address monitoring</i>: all packets whose destination addresses match a given internal host or workstation.</li> <li>• <i>Application-layer monitoring</i>: packets targeting a particular network service or application. This stream isolation may translate to parsing packet headers for IP/port matches (assuming an established binding between port and service) and rebuilding diagrams.</li> </ul> <p>In the following sections we discuss how such traffic streams can be statically and heuristically analyzed to provide insight into malicious and erroneous external traffic. Alternative sources of event data are also available from the report logs produced by the various gateways, firewalls, routers, and proxy-servers (e.g., router syslogs can in fact be used to collect packet information from several products)." (p. 5) [SYM_P_0068848]</p>

## Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Caption	Exhibit Link (Traffic Analysis Project Information)
		<p>Within the EMERALD project, we generalize these concepts so that components and software such as network gateways, proxies, and network services can themselves be made subject classes. The generated event streams are obtained from log files, packet analysis, and where required, special-purpose instrumentation made for services of interest (e.g., FTP, HTTP, or SMTP). As appropriate, an event stream may be analyzed as a single subject, or as multiple subjects, and the same network activity can be analyzed in several ways. For example, an event stream of dropped packets permits analyses that track the reason each packet was rejected. Under such a scenario, the firewall rejecting the packet is the subject, and the measures of interest are the reason the packet was dropped (a categorical measure), and the rate of dropped packets in the recent past (one or more intensity measures tuned to time intervals of seconds to minutes). Alternatively, these dropped packets may be parsed in finer detail, supporting other analyses where the subject is, for example, the identity of the originating host." (pp. 6-7) [SYM_P_0068849, SYM_P_0068850]</p> <p>"Through satellite session profiling, EMERALD can monitor traffic for signs of unusual activity. In the case of the FTP service, for example, each user who gives a login name is a subject, and "anonymous" is a subject as well. Another example of a subject is the network gateway itself, in which case there is only one subject. All subjects for the same event stream (that is, all subjects within a subject class) have the same measures defined in their profiles, but the internal profile values are different.</p> <p>As we migrate our statistical algorithms that had previously focused on user audit trails with users as subjects, we generalize our ability to build more abstract profiles for varied types of activity captured within our generalized notion of an event stream. In the context of statistically analyzing TCP/IP traffic streams, profiling can be derived from a variety of traffic perspectives, including profiles of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protocol-specific transactions (e.g., all ICMP exchanges)</li> <li>• Sessions between specific internal hosts and/or specific external sites</li> <li>• Application-layer-specific sessions (e.g., anonymous FTP sessions profiled individually and/or collectively)</li> <li>• Discarded traffic, measuring attributes such as volume and disposition of rejections</li> </ul>



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
203		<p>• Connection requests, errors, and unfiltered transmission rates and disposition</p> <p>Event records are generated either as a result of activity or at periodic intervals. In our case, activity records are based on the content of IP packets or transport-layer datagrams. Our event filters also construct interval summary records, which contain accumulated network traffic statistics (at a minimum, number of packets and number of kilobytes transferred). These records are constructed at the end of each interval (e.g., once per N seconds)." (p. 7) [SYM_P_0068850]</p> <p>See Section 4.1 "Categorical Measures in Network Traffic" (p. 8) [SYM_P_0068851]</p> <p>See Section 4.2 "Continuous Measures in Network Traffic" (p. 9) [SYM_P_0068852]</p> <p>See Section 4.3 "Measuring Network Traffic Intensity" (pp. 9-10) [SYM_P_0068852-SYM_P_0068853]</p> <p>See Section 4.4 "Event Distribution Measures" (pp. 10-11) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>See Section 4.5 "Statistical Session Analysis" (p. 11) [SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>See chart (p. 18) [SYM_P_0068861]</p>
	generating, by the monitors, reports of said suspicious activity; and	<p>"The focus of surveillance need not be limited to the analysis of traffic streams through a single gateway. An extremely useful extension of anomaly detection and signature analyses is to support the hierarchical correlation of analysis results produced by multiple distributed gateway surveillance modules. Within the EMERALD framework, we are developing meta-surveillance modules that analyze the anomaly and signature reports produced by individual traffic monitors dispersed to the various entry points of external traffic into local network domains." (p. 13) [SYM_P_0068856]</p>
	automatically receiving and integrating the reports of suspicious activity, by one or more hierarchical monitors.	<p>"EMERALD surveillance modules develop analysis results that are then directed up to an enterprise-layer monitor, which correlates the distributed results into a meta-event stream. The enterprise monitor is identical to the individual gateway monitors (i.e., they use the same code base), except that it is configured to correlate activity reports produced by the gateway monitors. The enterprise monitor employs both statistical anomaly detection and signature analyses to further analyze the results produced by the distributed gateway surveillance modules, searching for commonalities or trends in the distributed analysis results.</p>

244851

20

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways**  
**"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (attached exhibits)
2	The method of claim 1, wherein integrating comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	<p>The following sections focus on aggregate analyses that may induce both local response and/or enterprise-wide response. We enumerate some of the possible ways that analysis results from the various surveillance modules can be correlated to provide insight into more global problems not visible from the narrow perspective of local entry-point monitoring." (pp. 14-15) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>"On the other hand, event distribution measures are useful in correlative analysis achieved via the "Monitor of Monitors" approach. Here, each monitor contributes to an aggregate event stream for the domain of the correlation monitor. These events are generated only when the individual monitor decides that the recent behavior is anomalous (though perhaps not sufficiently anomalous by itself to trigger a declaration). Measures recorded include time stamp, monitor identifier, subject identifier, and measure identities of the most outlying measures. Overall intensity of this event stream may be indicative of a correlated attack. The distribution of which monitors and which measures are anomalous is likely to be different with an intrusion or malfunction than with the normal "innocent exception." (See Section 6 for a further discussion on result correlation.)" (pp. 10-11) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p> <p>"EMERALD surveillance modules develop analysis results that are then directed up to an enterprise-layer monitor, which correlates the distributed results into a meta-event stream. The enterprise monitor is identical to the individual gateway monitors (i.e., they use the same code base), except that it is configured to correlate activity reports produced by the gateway monitors. The enterprise monitor employs both statistical anomaly detection and signature analyses to further analyze the results produced by the distributed gateway surveillance modules, searching for commonalities or trends in the distributed analysis results.</p> <p>The following sections focus on aggregate analyses that may induce both local response and/or enterprise-wide response. We enumerate some of the possible ways that analysis results from the various surveillance modules can be correlated to provide insight into more global problems not visible from the narrow perspective of local entry-point monitoring." (pp. 14-15) [SYM_P_0068853-SYM_P_0068854]</p>
3	The method of claim 1,	See Section 6.1 "Commonalities among Results" (p. 15) [SYM_P_0068858] "In addition, we can add a layer of traffic-rate monitoring by profiling the overall volume of enterprise traffic expected throughout

244851

21



# Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways “Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis”

203 Claim Number	Claim Term wherein integrating further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
		<p>Various slices of the day and week. Local monitors may use continuous measures to detect drastic declines in packet volumes that could indicate transmission loss or serious degradation. However, it is conceivable that the degradation from the local domain perspective, while significant, is not drastic enough to warrant active response. At the same time, we may find through results correlation that the aggregate of all domains producing reports of transmission rate degradation during the same time period could warrant attention at the enterprise layer. Thus, local domain activity below the severity of warranting a response could in aggregation with other activity be found to warrant a response.” (pp. 15-16) [SYM_P_0068858-SYM_P_0068859]</p> <p>“Within EMERALD, our response capabilities will employ the following general forms of response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Passive results dissemination:</b> EMERALD monitors can make their analysis results available for administrative review. We are currently exploring techniques to facilitate passive dissemination of analysis results by using already-existing network protocols such as SNMP, including the translation of analysis results into an intrusion-detection management information base (MIB) structure. However, whereas it is extremely useful to integrate results dissemination into an already-existing infrastructure, we must balance this utility with the need to preserve the security and integrity of analysis results.</li> <li>• <b>Assertive results dissemination:</b> Analysis results can be actively disseminated as administrative alerts. While the automatic dissemination of alerts may help to provide timely review of problems by administrators, this approach may be the most expensive form of response, in that it requires human oversight. [vi]</li> <li>• <b>Dynamic controls over logging configuration:</b> EMERALD monitors can perform limited control over the (re)configuration of logging facilities within network components (e.g., routers, firewalls, network services, audit daemons).</li> <li>• <b>Integrity checking probes:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke handlers that validate the integrity of network services or other assets. Integrity probes may be particularly useful for ensuring that privileged network services have not been subverted. [vii]</li> <li>• <b>Reverse probing:</b> EMERALD monitors may invoke probes in an attempt to gather as much counterintelligence about the source of suspicious traffic by using features such as <i>traceroute</i> or <i>finger</i>. However, care is required in performing such actions,</li> </ul>

# Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Claim Number	Claim
	<p>as discussed in [4].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Active channel termination: An EMERALD monitor can actively terminate a channel session if it detects specific known hostile activity. This is perhaps the most severe response, and care must be taken to ensure that attackers do not manipulate the surveillance monitor to deny legitimate access." (p. 17) [SYM_P_0068860]</li> </ul>
4	<p>The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of network monitors include an API for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.</p>
5	<p>The method of claim 1, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.</p>
8	<p>The method of claim 7, wherein receiving and integrating is performed by a domain monitor with respect to a plurality of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated network domain.</p>

244851

23

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (unpublished)
203		<p>domains.[x] These local domains are independently administered, and could perhaps correspond to the division of computing assets among departments within commercial organizations or independent laboratories within research organizations. In this figure, connectivity with the external world is provided through one or more service providers (SP1 and SP2), which may provide a limited degree of filtering based on source address (to avoid address spoofing), as well as other primitive checks such as monitoring checksum." (p. 13) [SYM_P_0068856]</p> <p>See Figure: "Example Network Deployment of Surveillance Monitors" (pp. 13-14) [SYM_P_0068856- SYM_P_0068857]</p> <p>"EMERALD surveillance monitors are represented by the S-circles, and are deployed to the various entry points of the enterprise and domains.</p> <p>EMERALD surveillance modules develop analysis results that are then directed up to an enterprise-layer monitor, which correlates the distributed results into a meta-event stream. The enterprise monitor is identical to the individual gateway monitors (i.e., they use the same code base), except that it is configured to correlate activity reports produced by the gateway monitors. The enterprise monitor employs both statistical anomaly detection and signature analyses to further analyze the results produced by the distributed gateway surveillance modules, searching for commonalities or trends in the distributed analysis results.</p> <p>The following sections focus on aggregate analyses that may induce both local response and/or enterprise-wide response. We enumerate some of the possible ways that analysis results from the various surveillance modules can be correlated to provide insight into more global problems not visible from the narrow perspective of local entry-point monitoring." (pp. 14-15) [SYM_P_0068857- SYM_P_0068858]</p>
9	The method of claim 1, wherein deploying the network monitors includes deploying a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being	See '203 claim 8

244851

24

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	Emerald Live Traffic Analysis (Grand Jury Exhibit)
	associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	
10	The method of claim 9, wherein receiving and integrating is performed by an enterprise monitor with respect to a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
11	The method of claim 9, wherein the plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network establish peer-to-peer relationships with one another.	"This concept is illustrated in Figure 1, which depicts an example enterprise network consisting of interconnected local network domains [vi]" (p. 13) [SYM_P_0068856]
12	An enterprise network monitoring system comprising:	See '203 claim 1
	a plurality of network monitors deployed within an enterprise network;	See '203 claim 1
	said plurality of network monitors detecting suspicious network activity	See '203 claim 1
	based on analysis of	See '203 claim 1

244851

25



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

203 Claim number	Claim Term	Emerald Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
	network traffic data selected from the following categories: {network packet data transfer commands, network packet data transfer errors, network packet data volume, network connection requests, network connection denials, error codes included in a network packet};	
	said network monitors generating reports of said suspicious activity, and	See '203 claim 1.
	one or more hierarchical monitors in the enterprise network, the hierarchical monitors adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '203 claim 1
13	The system of claim 12, wherein the integration comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	See '203 claim 2

244851

26



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

'203 Claim Number	Claim Term	Emerald Live Traffic Analysis (unpublished)
14	The system of claim 12, wherein the integration further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	See '203 claim 3
15	The system of claim 12, wherein the plurality of network monitors include an application programming interface (API) for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.	See '203 claim 4
16	The system of claim 12, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.	See '203 claim 5
18	The system of claim 12, wherein the plurality of network monitors includes a plurality of service monitors among multiple domains of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 8
19	The system of claim 18, wherein a domain monitor associated with the plurality	See '203 claim 8

244851

27

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

203 Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (Printed Publication)
	of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated network domain is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	
20	The system of claim 12, wherein the plurality of network monitors include a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
21	The system of claim 20, wherein an enterprise monitor associated with a plurality of domain monitors is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '203 claim 10
22	The system of claim 20,	See '203 claim 11

244851

28

Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
 "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

015	wherein the plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network interface as a plurality of peer-to-peer relationships with one another	Parallels by identifying the IP address of the gateway
-----	--	--

29

244851

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
1	Method for monitoring an enterprise network, said method comprising the steps of: detecting, by the network monitors in the enterprise network, activity based on analysis of network traffic data, wherein at least one of the network monitors utilizes a statistical detection method;	See '203 claim 1
		See '203 claim 1
		See '203 claim 1
		See '203 claim 1
		"4. Traffic Analysis with Statistical Anomaly Detection SRI has been involved in statistical anomaly-detection research for over a decade [1], [5], [10]. Our previous work focused on the profiling of user activity through audit-trail analysis. Within the EMERALD project, we are extending the underlying statistical algorithms to profile various aspects of network traffic in search of response- or alert-worthy anomalies. The statistical subsystem tracks subject activity via one or more variables called <i>measures</i> . The statistical algorithms employ four classes of measures: categorical, continuous, intensity, and event distribution. <i>Categorical</i> measures are those that assume values from a categorical set, such as originating host identity, destination host, and port number. <i>Continuous</i> measures are those for which observed values are numeric or ordinal, such as number of bytes transferred. Derived measures also track the intensity of activity (that is, the rate of events per unit time) and the "meta-distribution" of the measures affected by recent events. These derived measure types are referred to as <i>intensity</i> and <i>event distribution</i> . The system we have developed maintains and updates a description of a subject's behavior with respect to these measure types in a compact, efficiently updated <i>profile</i> . The profile is subdivided into short- and long-term elements. The short-term profile

244851

30



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

<p>202 201 200 199 198 197 196 195 194 193 192 191 190 189 188 187 186 185 184 183 182 181 180 179 178 177 176 175 174 173 172 171 170 169 168 167 166 165 164 163 162 161 160 159 158 157 156 155 154 153 152 151 150 149 148 147 146 145 144 143 142 141 140 139 138 137 136 135 134 133 132 131 130 129 128 127 126 125 124 123 122 121 120 119 118 117 116 115 114 113 112 111 110 109 108 107 106 105 104 103 102 101 100 99 98 97 96 95 94 93 92 91 90 89 88 87 86 85 84 83 82 81 80 79 78 77 76 75 74 73 72 71 70 69 68 67 66 65 64 63 62 61 60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39 38 37 36 35 34 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1</p>	<p>generating, by the monitors, reports of said suspicious activity; and</p>	<p>See '203 claim 1</p>
<p>automatically receiving and integrating the reports of suspicious activity, by one or more hierarchical monitors.</p>	<p>See '203 claim 1</p>	<p>"Using basic signature-analysis concepts, EMERALD can support a variety of analyses involving packet and transport datagrams as event streams. For example, address spoofing, tunneling, source routing [21], SATAN [27] attack detection, and abuse of ICMP messages (redirect and destination Unreachable) messages in particular [4] could all be encoded and detected by signature engines that guard network gateways. The heuristics for analyzing headers and application datagrams for some of these abuses are not the same as what is already captured by some filtering tools. In fact, it is somewhat difficult to justify the expense of passively monitoring the traffic stream for such activity when one could turn such knowledge into filtering rules.[iv]" (p. 11-12) [SYM_P_0068854- SYM_P_0068855]</p> <p>See Section 5 "Traffic-Analyzing with Signature Analysis" (pp. 11-12) [SYM_P_0068854- SYM_P_0068855]</p>

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald, Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
3	The method of claim 2, wherein the monitor utilizing a signature matching detection method also utilizes a statistical detection method.	<p>"We enumerate a variety of ways to extend both statistical and signature-based intrusion-detection analysis techniques to monitor network traffic." (Abstract) [SYM_P_0068844]</p> <p>"This paper takes a pragmatic look at the issue of packet and/or datagram analysis based on statistical anomaly detection and signature-analysis techniques. This work is being performed in the context of SRI's latest intrusion-detection effort, EMERALD, a distributed scalable tool suite for tracking malicious activity through and across large networks [20]. EMERALD introduces a building-block approach to network surveillance, attack isolation, and automated response. The approach employs highly distributed, independently tunable, surveillance and response monitors that are deployable polymorphically at various abstract layers in a large network. These monitors demonstrate a streamlined intrusion-detection design that combines signature analysis with statistical profiling to provide localized real-time protection of the most widely used network services and components on the Internet." (pp. 3-4) [SYM_P_0068846- SYM_P_0068847]</p> <p>"We identify effective analytical techniques for processing the event stream given specific analysis objectives. Sections 4 and 5 explore how both statistical anomaly detection and signature analysis can be applied to identify activity worthy of review and possible response." (p. 4) [SYM_P_0068847]</p> <p>See '203 claim 2</p>
4	The method of claim 1, wherein integrating comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	
5	The method of claim 1, wherein integrating further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	See '203 claim 3

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	See '203 claim
6	The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of network monitors includes an API for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.	See '203 claim 4
7	The method of claim 1, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.	See '203 claim 5
8	The method of claim 1, wherein the network monitors are deployed at one or more of the following facilities of the enterprise network: {gateways, routers, proxy servers}.	"Among the general types of analysis targets that EMERALD monitors are network gateways." (p. 3) [SYM_P_0068847] "Here, we consider the objective of providing real-time surveillance of TCP/IP-based networks for malicious or exceptional network traffic. In particular, our network surveillance mechanisms can be integrated onto, or interconnected with, network gateways that filter traffic between a protected intranet and external networks." (p. 4) [SYM_P_0068847]
9	The method of claim 1, wherein deploying the network monitors includes placing a plurality of service monitors among multiple domains of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 8
10	The method of claim 9, wherein receiving and	See '203 claim 8

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
	integrating is performed by a domain monitor with respect to a plurality of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated network domain.	
11	The method of claim 1, wherein deploying the network monitors includes deploying a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
12	The method of claim 11, wherein receiving and integrating is performed by an enterprise monitor with respect to a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 10
13	The method of claim 11, wherein the plurality of the domain monitors within the	See '203 claim 11



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald -- Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	Prior Art Reference
	enterprise network establish peer-to-peer relationships with one another.	
14	An enterprise network monitoring system comprising:	See '212 claim 1
	a plurality of network monitors deployed within an enterprise network;	See '212 claim 1
	said plurality of network monitors detecting suspicious network activity	See '212 claim 1
	based on analysis of network traffic data,	See '212 claim 1
	wherein at least one of the network monitors utilizes a statistical detection method;	See '212 claim 1
	said network monitors generating reports of said suspicious activity; and	See '212 claim 1
	one or more hierarchical monitors in the enterprise network, the hierarchical monitors adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of	See '212 claim 1

244851

35

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim Text	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
15	suspicious activity. The system of claim 14, wherein the integration comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	See '203 claim 2
16	The system of claim 14, wherein the integration further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	See '203 claim 3
17	The system of claim 14, wherein the plurality of network monitors include an application programming interface (API) for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.	See '203 claim 4
18	The system of claim 14, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.	See '203 claim 5
20	The system of claim 14, wherein the plurality of network monitors includes a plurality of service monitors	See '203 claim 8

244851

36

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Language	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis Correlation Application
21	among multiple domains of the enterprise network. The system of claim 20, wherein a domain monitor associated with the plurality of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated network domain is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '203 claim 8
22	The system of claim 14, wherein the plurality of network monitors include a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
23	The system of claim 22, wherein an enterprise monitor associated with a plurality of domain monitors is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of	See '203 claim 10

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (printed publication)
24	<p>suspicious activity.</p> <p>The system of claim 22, wherein the plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network interface as a plurality of peer-to-peer relationships with one another.</p>	See '203 claim 11

EXHIBIT A-5-38

244851

38



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Prior Art Claim	Claim	Prior Art Claim
1	A computer-automated method of hierarchical event monitoring and analysis within an enterprise network comprising: deploying a plurality of network monitors in the enterprise network; detecting, by the network monitors, suspicious network activity based on analysis of network traffic data selected from one or more of the following categories: {network packet data transfer commands, network packet data transfer errors, network packet data volume, network connection requests, network connection denials, error codes included in a network packet, network connection acknowledgements, and network packets indicative of well-known network-service protocols}; generating, by the monitors, reports of said suspicious activity; and automatically receiving and integrating the reports of suspicious activity, by one or more hierarchical monitors.	See '203 claim 1  See '203 claim 1  See '203 claim 1  See '203 claim 1
2	The method of claim 1, wherein integrating	See '203 claim 2

244851

39

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis (patent publication)
	comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	
3	The method of claim 1, wherein integrating further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	See '203 claim 3
4	The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of network monitors include an API for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.	See '203 claim 4
5	The method of claim 1, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.	See '203 claim 5
6	The method of claim 1, wherein the network monitors are deployed at one or more of the following facilities of the enterprise network: (gateways, routers, proxy servers).	See '212 claim 8
7	The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of said network monitors utilizes a statistical detection method.	See '212 claim 1
8	The method of claim 1, wherein deploying the network monitors includes placing a plurality of service monitors among multiple domains of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 8
9	The method of claim 8, wherein receiving and integrating is performed by a domain monitor with respect to a plurality of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated	See '203 claim 8

244851

40

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Text	See
10	The method of claim 1, wherein deploying the network monitors includes deploying a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
11	The method of claim 10, wherein receiving and integrating is performed by an enterprise monitor with respect to a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 10
12	The method of claim 10, wherein the plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network establish peer-to-peer relationships with one another.	See '203 claim 11
13	An enterprise network monitoring system comprising: a plurality of network monitors deployed within an enterprise network, said plurality of network monitors detecting suspicious network activity based on analysis of network traffic data selected from one or more of the following categories: (network packet data transfer commands, network packet data transfer errors, network packet data volume, network	See '615 claim 1 See '615 claim 1 See '615 claim 1 See '615 claim 1

244851

41

**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Term	Reference to Live Traffic Analysis (Printed Publication)
13	connection requests, network connection denials, error codes included in a network packet, network connection acknowledgements, and network packets indicative of well-known network-service protocols;	
	said network monitors generating reports of said suspicious activity; and	See '615 claim 1
	one or more hierarchical monitors in the enterprise network, the hierarchical monitors adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '615 claim 1
14	The system of claim 13, wherein the integration comprises correlating intrusion reports reflecting underlying commonalities.	See '203 claim 2
15	The system of claim 13, wherein the integration further comprises invoking countermeasures to a suspected attack.	See '203 claim 3
16	The system of claim 13, wherein the plurality of network monitors include an application programming interface (API) for encapsulation of monitor functions and integration of third-party tools.	See '203 claim 4
17	The system of claim 13, wherein the enterprise network is a TCP/IP network.	See '203 claim 5
18	The system of claim 13, wherein the network	See '212 claim 8

244851

42



**Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
"Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"**

Claim Number	Claim Language	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis Evidence
	monitors are deployed at one or more of the following facilities of the enterprise network: (gateways, routers, proxy servers).	
19	The system of claim 13, wherein the plurality of network monitors includes a plurality of service monitors among multiple domains of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 8
20	The system of claim 19, wherein a domain monitor associated with the plurality of service monitors within the domain monitor's associated network domain is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '203 claim 8
21	The system of claim 13, wherein the plurality of network monitors include a plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network, each domain monitor being associated with a corresponding domain of the enterprise network.	See '203 claim 9
22	The system of claim 21, wherein an enterprise monitor associated with a plurality of domain monitors is adapted to automatically receive and integrate the reports of suspicious activity.	See '203 claim 10
23	The system of claim 21, wherein the plurality of domain monitors within the enterprise network interface as a plurality of peer-to-peer	See '203 claim 11

244851

43

Live Traffic Analysis of TCP/IP Gateways  
 "Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis"

Claim number	Claim term	Emerald – Live Traffic Analysis printed publication
	relationships with one another.	

244851

44